Q: Why are new treatments such as CELMoDs needed in multiple myeloma (MM)?

MM remains a **highly heterogeneous** and **genomically complex** disease, with clonal evolution and **diverse resistance mechanisms** contributing to relapse and treatment refractoriness. **Many patients receive multiple lines of therapy early in their course**, including triplet or quadruplet regimens and, increasingly, T cell–redirecting therapies such as CAR T cells and bispecifics, limiting options in later lines.¹⁻⁶

Outcomes remain particularly poor in high-risk subgroups, including those with adverse cytogenetics, elderly or frail patients who may not tolerate intensive regimens, and those with triple-/penta-refractory or post-BCMA disease. In these settings, existing therapies often fail to overcome resistant disease biology.

CELMoDs are a novel oral option with enhanced cereblon binding and immunomodulatory activity, making them **effective even in heavily pretreated**, **IMiD-refractory**, **and biologically high-risk populations**. Their oral route of administration may also support patient-centered care by improving convenience and reducing clinic burden, though adherence remains an important consideration.^{7,8}

Q: What are CELMoDs?

CELMoDs (cereblon E3 ligase modulators) are a novel class of oral immunomodulatory agents designed to bind cereblon, a substrate receptor of the CRL4^{CRBN} E3 ubiquitin ligase complex. Like traditional IMiDs (e.g., lenalidomide, pomalidomide), CELMoDs promote the degradation of key transcription factors lkaros and Aiolos, leading to direct antitumor effects and T- and NK-cell activation. However, CELMoDs have increased cereblon binding affinity and more potent substrate degradation, resulting in enhanced antimyeloma activity, including in IMiD-refractory disease.^{7,8}

Key agents in this class include: Iberdomide (CC-220) and Mezigdomide (CC-92480)

CELMoDs offer a mechanistically distinct yet complementary approach to current therapies, addressing a critical need in MM. CELMoDs exert **broad immunostimulatory effects** that surpass those of traditional IMiDs. By enhancing cereblon binding and promoting more efficient degradation of Ikaros and Aiolos, CELMoDs **increase IL-2 production, augment T-cell proliferation, and activate NK cells.** Preclinical data suggest CELMoDs **reverse features of T-cell exhaustion and improve T-cell fitness**, including enhanced cytotoxic function and memory phenotype formation. 12-20



Q: In which settings are CELMoDs currently being studied or considered?

CELMoDs are under active investigation across the treatment continuum in MM. 11,21-36,46-54
The most advanced development is in the **relapsed/refractory setting**, particularly in **triple- or penta-class refractory disease**, including patients previously treated with BCMA-directed therapies or those with high-risk features such as extramedullary disease, where early-phase studies have shown encouraging activity. These agents are also being studied in **earlier lines of therapy**, including **transplant-ineligible NDMM**, and as **post-transplant maintenance**, with the potential to replace lenalidomide in frontline or

Key combinations under investigation include:

maintenance settings.

Relapsed/Refractory MM: Doublets and Triplets

- **Mezigdomide** + **dexamethasone** (**dex**) (**CC-92480-MM-001**): Phase 1/2 trial in heavily pretreated, triple-class refractory patients.
- Mezigdomide combinations with bortezomib-dex, carfilzomib-dex, daratumumab-dex, and elotuzumab-dex (CC-92480-MM-002): Phase 1/2 study in patients with R/R MM, including those refractory to IMiDs, Pls, and anti-CD38 antibodies.
- **Mezigdomide + EZH2, BET, or MEK inhibitors (CA057-003):** Phase 1/2 trial evaluating biologically rational combinations to overcome resistance.
- **Mezigdomide** + **elranatamab** + **dex** (**MELT-MM**): Phase 1/2 study assessing potential synergy with BCMA-targeted bispecific antibody therapy.
- *Iberdomide* + dex ± daratumumab, bortezomib, or carfilzomib (CC-220-MM-001): Phase 1/2 study of iberdomide-containing doublets or triplets in patients with relapsed/refractory MM after ≥2-3 prior lines of therapy.
- Iberdomide + ixazomib-dex (IFM I2D): Phase 2 study of an all-oral triplet regimen in second-line relapsed/refractory MM, including those early in relapse.
 (cont'd)



- **Iberdomide + cyclophosphamide-dex (ICON):** Phase 2 study in heavily pretreated, dual- or triple-class refractory relapsed/refractory MM patients.
- Ongoing Phase 3 studies in relapsed/refractory MM:
 - SUCCESSOR-1: Mezigdomide + bortezomib + dex vs pomalidomide + bortezomib + dex in patients with 1–3 prior lines, including lenalidomide and a proteasome inhibitor.
 - SUCCESSOR-2: Mezigdomide + carfilzomib + dex vs carfilzomib + dex in patients with 1–3 prior lines, including lenalidomide and anti-CD38 therapy.
 - EXCALIBER-RRMM: Iberdomide + daratumumab + dex vs daratumumab + bortezomib + dex in patients who received 1-2 prior lines of anti-myeloma therapy.

Newly Diagnosed MM (NDMM): Transplant-Ineligible or Post-ASCT Maintenance

- Iberdomide + dex or + bortezomib-dex or + daratumumab-dex (CC-220-MM-001 expansion cohorts): Investigating CELMoD triplets in transplant-ineligible NDMM.
- **Iberdomide + dex (GEM-IBERDARAX):** Phase 2 study evaluating doublet in transplant-ineligible NDMM.
- *Iberdomide maintenance post-ASCT (CC-220-MM-020):* Phase 2 trial of post-transplant maintenance in transplant-eligible NDMM.
- *Iberdomide* + *daratumumab-dex vs. iberdomide* + *daratumumab-dex* + *carfilzomib (COMMANDER):* Phase 1/2 study in NDMM post-transplant.
- *Iberdomide* + *subcutaneous daratumumab (IBEX; NCT06107738):* Phase 1/2 study in NDMM patients post-ASCT who remain MRD-positive.
- Iberdomide quadruplet(isatuximab-iberdomide-bortezomib-dexamethasone) and MRD-guided iberdomide-based maintenance in NDMM (DETERMINATION-2)

Ongoing Phase 3 Trials in Frontline/Maintenance Settings:

- GMMG-HD9/DSMM XVIII (NCT06216158): Iberdomide monotherapy vs iberdomide + isatuximab as post-ASCT maintenance in transplant-eligible NDMM.
- **GEM21menos65** (**NCT05558319**): Comparing bortezomib + lenalidomide + dex (VRD) extended + ASCT, isatuximab + VRD + ASCT, and isatuximab + VRD + iberdomide + ASCT in transplant-eligible NDMM.
- MIDAS/IFM 2020-02 (NCT04934475): Evaluating an MRD-adapted consolidation and maintenance strategy following Isa-KRd induction, with lenalidomide maintenance post-ASCT for standard-risk or isatuximab plus iberdomide maintenance for those with high risk.
- **EXCALIBER-Maintenance (NCT06107738):** Comparing iberdomide vs lenalidomide maintenance following ASCT in transplant-eligible NDMM.



Q: What are common adverse events associated with CELMoDs?

A: CELMoDs are generally well tolerated but associated with class-related and agent-specific toxicities. 11,22-25,32,33,37

- Hematologic: Neutropenia, anemia, thrombocytopenia
- **Non-hematologic:** Fatigue, gastrointestinal symptoms (nausea, diarrhea), rash, neuropathy

Infection risk, including serious infections, has been observed, particularly in heavily pretreated patients. Prophylactic antimicrobials, IVIG for hypogammaglobulinemia, and growth factor support should be considered based on patient risk. Dose interruptions, reductions, and supportive care measures are effective for managing toxicities, and close monitoring during early treatment cycles is recommended.

Q: What is the role of minimal residual disease (MRD) in assessing response to therapy?

Minimal residual disease (MRD) assessment has become a critical tool in evaluating treatment response in MM.³⁸⁻⁴⁵ **MRD-negative complete response (CR),** particularly at highly sensitive thresholds (e.g., 10⁻⁵ to 10⁻⁶ by next-generation sequencing or flow cytometry), **is strongly correlated with improved PFS and OS**. Sustained MRD negativity further refines risk stratification, indicating durable disease control and a lower likelihood of relapse.

As a result, MRD negativity is increasingly accepted as a surrogate endpoint in clinical trials and has received regulatory recognition, such as the FDA ODAC's April 2024 endorsement of MRD-negative CR as a surrogate for PFS, to support accelerated drug development. Sustained MRD negativity is also emerging as a goal of therapy, offering a marker of durable remission and a potential benchmark for achieving a functional cure.

CELMoDs have shown promising MRD negativity rates, including those with high-risk features. Multiple ongoing clinical trials of CELMoDs incorporate MRD-negative CR as a primary endpoint to assess depth and durability of response, including the EXCALIBER-Maintenance (NCT05827016), GMMG-HD9/DSMM XVIII (NCT06216158), GEM21menos65 (NCT05558319), and MIDAS / IFM 2020-02 (NCT04934475) studies of iberdomide; the CC-92480-MM-001 and CA057-003 trials of mezigdomide also evaluate MRD-negativity as a key secondary or exploratory endpoint.

These trials highlight the growing role of MRD in guiding clinical development, enabling earlier assessment of treatment benefit, and informing personalized MM care strategies.



References

- Rajkumar. Am J Hematol. 2022;97(8):1086-1107.
- Kaiser. J Clin Oncol. Stalker. Curr Oncol. 2022;29(7):4464-4477.
- Eek. Patient Prefer Adherence. 2016;10:1609-1621.
- Morgan GJ, et al. Nat Rev Cancer. 2012;12(5):335-48.
- Manier S, et al. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 2017;14(2):100-13.
- Samur MK, et al. Blood. 2023;141(14):1724-36.
- Matyskiela, et al. J Med Chem. 2018;61:535.
- Hansen, et al. J Med Chem. 2020;63:6648.
- Lonial. ASCO 2019. Abstr 8006.
- Lonial. Lancet Haematol. 2022;9:e822.
- Richardson. N Engl J Med. 2023;389(11):1009-22.
- Van Oekelen, et al. ASH 2021. Abstr 730.
- Van Oekelen, et al. Cell Rep Med. 2024;5:101584. 13.
- Chen, et al. ASH 2023. Abstr 4686.
- Amatangelo. Blood. 2018;132:1935. 15.
- 16 Biorklund, ASH 2021, Abstr 2669.
- Aleman A, et al. Blood. 2024;144(supplement 1):3259. 17.
- 18. Meermeier EW, et al. Blood 2024;144(supplement 1):356.
- Kurtova A, et al. HemaSphere 2025;9(S1):PS1674.
- Aleman A, et al. HemaSphere 2025;9(S1):PF685. 20.
- Richardson. J Clin Oncol. 2020;38(15_suppl): abstract 8500.
- Oriol. Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk. 2023;23(Suppl 2):S31.
- Sandhu. Blood. 2024;144(supplement 1):1025.
- Richardson. Blood. 2023;142(supplement 1):1013.
- Hartley-Brown. Cancers (Basel). 2024;16(6):1166
- Costa, et al. Blood. 2024;144 (Supplement 1): 677.
- Costa, et al. HemaSphere. 2025;9(S1).
- Byun, et al. HemaSphere. 2025;9(S1):PB2298.
- Lonial. Blood. 2021;138(suppl 1):abstract 162.
- Lonial. Blood. 2022;140(suppl 1):abstract 1918.
- Touzeau. HemaSphere. 2024;8(S1):1621-2.
- Korst. HemaSphere. 2024;8(S1):1589-90.
- Touzeau, et al Br J Haematol. 2025 May; 206(5):1366-1372.
- Richardson. Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk. 2023;23(Suppl 1):S495-S496.
- Richardson. J Clin Oncol. 2023;41(16 suppl):abstract TPS8070.
- Lonial. J Clin Oncol. 2023;41(16_suppl):abstract TPS8069. Lonial. HemaSphere. 2021;5(S2):49-50, Abstract S187.
- Munshi NC, et al. Blood Adv. 2020;4(23):5988-99
- Avet-Loiseau et al. Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk. 2020;20(1):e30-7.
- Anderson KC, et al. Clin Cancer Res. 2021;27(19):5195-212
- Ntanasis-Stathopoulos I, et al. Am J Hematol. 2025;100(3):427-38.
- Landgren O, et al. Blood. 2024;144(4):359-67
- 43. ODAC meeting, April 12, 2024, i2TEAMM presentation: https://www.fda.gov/media/177738/download.
- Shi Q, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2025;43(11):1289-301.
- Coffey DG, et al. Nat Commun. 2023;14(1):5335.
- Amatangelo. Blood. 2024;144(Supplement 1):1973.
- White et al. HemaSphere, 2025;9(S1):PF737
- Puig N, et al. HemaSphere 2025;9(S1):PS1784.
- Liu. Expert Rev Hematol. 2024;17(8):445-65.
- Perrot. Blood. 2025;2024026230.
- Perrot. ASCO 2025. Abstract 7500
- Gay. Hemasphere. 2024;8(S1):1703-4.
- Callander N, et al. Blood. 2024;144(Supplement 1):3365.
- Kin A, et al. Blood. 2024;144(Supplement 1):7007.

